# **Review Paper: An Overview of VOC Sampling and Detection Techniques**

Mohitkar Anuradha, Kokate Piyush<sup>\*</sup>, Wathore Roshan, Kanade Gajanan and Middey Anirban

CSIR-National Environmental Engineering Research Institute, Nehru Marg, Nagpur -440020, INDIA

\*pa\_kokate@neeri.res.in

## Abstract

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) are the key air pollutants that act as precursors to major secondary pollutants including tropospheric ozone and secondary organic aerosols (SOA) and various health issues. Therefore, there is a need to have a simple, portable, reliable sampling method to quantify VOCs. This study reviews VOC sampling techniques based on feasibility, the material used, sensors and gas chromatographic instruments. A particular highlight on VOC sensors concerning their sensitivity, detection limit, response time and robustness has also been done.

Also, the study focuses on critical aspects of VOC characterisation in ambient air along with the advantages and challenges of the methods. Around 65% researchers have used the of gas chromatography-based FID technique (GC-FID) for VOC characterisation in India. The typical sampling methods used in India are sorbent tubes or cartridges, air sample bags (commonly Tedlar bags), glass air sampling units and canisters. The portable real-time method is also used. The most common sampling method is passive sampling with sorbent tubes with activated carbon. However, extensive research is required on more sophisticated and world-class sensors, sampling and detection techniques to reach safe and recommended targets.

**Keywords:** Air pollution, Gas Chromatography, Spectroscopy, Sensors, Volatile Organic Compound.

# Introduction

Rapid and uncontrolled industrialisation and urbanisation have resulted in a significant increase in atmospheric pollution over the years. Volatile organic compounds (VOC) are one of the main air pollutants causing detrimental effects on health and the environment <sup>43</sup>. VOC emissions are broadly divided into biogenic and anthropogenic depending on their source. Biogenic VOCs are emitted from plants containing compounds such as monoterpenes and isoprenoids<sup>35</sup>. Prime sources of anthropogenic VOCs are vehicular exhaust and emissions from fuel evaporation, solvent usage, industrial processes, oil refining, waste landfills and dumpsites and material emissions from domestic painting<sup>57,63,75,78</sup>.

VOCs are responsible for smog formation and act as precursors of secondary air pollutants, tropospheric ozone

 $(O_3)$  and secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formed by photocatalytic oxidation<sup>27,32,94</sup>. SOA is the primary source of particulate matter (PM) formation<sup>26,87</sup>. Recent studies have also found associations between PM and SOA levels and the transmission of coronavirus disease of 2019 (COVID-19) suggesting that they can act as carriers of viruses and other micro-organisms<sup>11,53,66</sup>.

Thousands of VOCs are produced and consumed in our daily life from indoor and ambient air and the standard U.S. Environment Protection Agency (E.P.A.) methods enlist hazardous air pollutants <sup>88</sup>. Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, (o,p,m)-xylene (commonly called BTEX) are the most toxic<sup>7</sup>. Studies reveal benzene and 1,3 butadiene to be carcinogenic whereas toluene and xylene <sup>1, 30, 42</sup> have shown teratogenic effects<sup>19,95,97</sup>.

This review aims to impart a fundamental understanding and practical approach to acknowledge the different sampling methods for VOCs in the ambient air. The sampling methods prominently describe canisters, sorbent tubes and air sample bags. Moreover, lab-based and real-time analysis methods focus on analytical techniques. This study also highlights low-cost sensors and portable gas chromatography instruments, which are gaining popularity.

### **VOC Determination**

VOC determination primarily includes sampling, detection and analysis. In addition, the aspects mentioned earlier have been studied in the context of India for the last decade (2011 - 2020). Here, we present an extensive review of sampling techniques available and their characteristics, highlighting the factors that make such methods or sensors suitable for these applications. A comprehensive study was interpreted with the help of graphs, tables and Microsoft tools for plotting the graphs and pie charts.

**Manual Sampling:** Air monitoring provides information on the concentration and nature of pollutants at the desired location over a specific duration. Various monitoring techniques such as manual or whole air sampling, accurateor automatic-time analysers and wireless sensors are frequently used <sup>13, 80</sup>. Manual sampling (also known as entire air sampling) is the most common and popular method. The air is sampled, stored and later analysed in the laboratory by standard methods (e.g. EPA TO 15)<sup>80,88</sup>. Sampling is done in two ways i.e. active and passive sampling (Figure 1); active sampling is when air is mechanically forced into the sampling unit with a constant flow rate whereas passive sampling is based on the phenomenon of diffusion into the sampling adsorbents. The sampling of air is performed with the help of canisters, sorbent tubes, air sample bags (Polyvinyl fluoride filmed plastic air sampling bags), balloons, foam-based sampler (Polyurethane foam -PUF) etc. Table 1 and figure 2 depict and summarise the standard manual sampling techniques for VOCs.

Miniaturised air sampling techniques have also been developed to overcome problems such as bulky size, higher cost, longer sampling hours and complicated setup. These are also divided into active and passive sampling. Amongst sampling, the most common method is the Needle-Trap Micro-Extraction (NTME), In-Tube extraction and miniaturised sorption traps<sup>37</sup>. For miniaturised passive air sampling, Solid-Phase Micro-Extraction (SPME) and Solid-Phase Dynamic Extraction (SPDE) are used. SPME is utilised in the form of an arrow, fibre and thin film. Miniature sampling devices can also be installed on drones for quick analysis<sup>40</sup>. Active sampling is the preferred sampling technique to identify episodic emissions of VOCs but provides limited sample information due to the breakthrough volumes of the sorbent tubes.

Major drawbacks of passive sampling are: sampling over very long durations (e.g. three months, six months, one year). Less strongly sorbed compounds may not be well retained on passive samplers over such long intervals. In addition, longer sampling durations provide more time for the uptake of water to influence performance through competition for adsorptive sites for some sorbents (e.g. activated carbon), hydrolytic degradation of some chemicals (e.g. methyl ethyl ketone, 1,1,1- trichloroethane), or reactions with ground-level ozone<sup>59</sup>.



**Figure 1: Various Sampling Methods** 



(a) Single sorbent tube connected to a 60ml syringe for collection of vapor sample<sup>40</sup>



(b) Canisters (spherical and cylindrical containers) with Flow Controllers (smaller gauges and blue box)



(c) One-liter Tedlar Bag with Disposable Syringe and Three-way Valve for Filling

Figure 2: a) Single sorbent tube b) Canister in a spherical and cylindrical shape with flow controllers c) Tedlar bag with a disposable syringe and three-way valve<sup>84</sup>

Comer l'es a	Sample holding				
instrument	Material	duration	International method	Flow rate	
Canisters	Stainless steel <sup>7,13,15,16,87,92</sup>	Up to 30 days	EPA TO-15 EPA TO-14A	100-200 mL/min	
Sorbent tubes	Inorganic sorbents like silica gel. Organic sorbents like activated carbon Organic polymers are also used <sup>7,9,18,52</sup>	Maximum 14 days	EPA TO -17, C.P.C.B. ( Central Pollution Control Board)	50-200 mL/min	
Air Sample bags	Polyvinyl Fluoride film- coating, Teflon and flex foil <sup>7,16,31</sup>	Less than 24 hrs	EPA TO-14A	100-200 mL/min	
SPME	Polydimethyl siloxane, Divinyl benzene,Carboxen <sup>5</sup>			70mL/min	
Foam-based sampler	Nylon or Foam <sup>99</sup>	Upto 24 hours		2000-2500 mL/min	
Passive Sampling	Tenax TA, Chromosorb 106 ,Carbopack B, Carbograph 1TD, Anasorb GCB1, Carbograph 4TD, Carbopack X, Carbograph 5TD , Carboxen 1016 <sup>49,59,90</sup>			Varies according to the sorbent material	

Table 1							
Details of n	ianual	sa	m	pling	te	chniqu	e
	a	1		1.10			

Table 2           Types, principles and detection limit of detectors in Instrumental analysis				
Detectors	Principle	Detection Range	Туре	Compounds detected
FID	The ionisation of organic compounds by igniting the compounds in the hydrogen-air flame <sup>14,31,77</sup>	ppm or ppb	Universal detector	Organic compounds, such as hydrocarbons.
TCD	The difference of thermal conductivity properties between the carrier gas and the target is being detected <sup>14</sup>	ppm or ppb	Universal detector	All the compounds i.e. organic, inorganic except carrier gas
ECD	Some electrons of individual molecules which pass through the detector are captured and the current being measured reduces, which results in a positive peak being recorded <sup>14,65</sup>	ppt	Selective detector	Halogenated compounds
MS	Ions produced from inorganic or organic compounds are separated by their mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) that can be detected qualitatively and quantitatively by their respective m/z and abundance <sup>14,19,23,98</sup>	ppb	Universal detector	Maximum number of compounds
PTR-MS	<ul> <li>VOC molecules in gas-phase get ionised by the proton transfer from H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup> to the VOC molecules:</li> <li>VOC + H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup>→ VOC ·H<sup>+</sup>+H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>2,8,14,33,56,81</sup></li> <li>A mass analyser subsequently detects the VOC ions (VOC ·H<sup>+</sup>) generated during this reaction.</li> </ul>	ppt	Selective detector	Maximum number of compounds

Lab-based instrumental analysis of VOCs: Samples collected through manual sampling are generally analysed to detect, identify and quantify various VOCs at the laboratory using analytical instruments. Gas chromatography (GC) is the most common separation technique used for VOC analysis<sup>51,54</sup>. Different detectors like Flame ionisation detector (FID), Photoionization Detector (PID), Electron capture detector (ECD), Mass spectrometry (MS) and Thermal conductivity detector (TCD) can be used for VOCs (Table 2). Another instrument used for separation is Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass Spectrometry (PTR-MS). The detectors that detect each compound present in the column, excluding the mobile phase, are considered Universal detectors <sup>28</sup>.

The PTR-MS carries the limitation possessed by mass spectrometry, as it is challenging to separate e.g. a couple of compounds, it may work by reagent ions switching and or by varying the reduced electric field strength in the drift tube. A vast range of compounds such as VOC (e.g. BTX), hydrocarbons such as propene, isoprene, oxygenated compounds for example formaldehyde, acetone and nitrogen-containing compounds (for example acetonitrile) can be measured for real-time monitoring<sup>44,86</sup>. Some of the technique's disadvantages are that all the molecules are not detectable, maximum measurable concentration is limited and it acts as a real-time trace gas analysis method featured on mass spectrometry. Also, gas-phase of the sample is mandatory. PTR-MS is used to monitor traffic emissions (e.g. Benzene, Toluene, Xylene (BTX), Alkylbenzenes) with different sampling times varying between 3 to 10 s/mass.<sup>4</sup>

**VOC Determination at Real-Time:** For VOCs, real-time analysers consist of different sensors such as photoionization detector (PID), metal oxide (MOx)<sup>17</sup>, amperometric<sup>25</sup> etc. Among these, PID-based sensors are designed for measuring the low concentration levels of VOCs, therefore they are most widely used<sup>79</sup>. With the advent of the internet of things (IoT) and Artificial Intelligence (AI), a wireless network of

such sensors can be deployed and programmed to provide real-time or near-real-time data over an area (e.g. an industry or gas station) or region (e.g. neighborhood or a city) – thus creating a geo-tagged hyperlocal database.

The reason to use more than one type of detector for GC is to achieve selective and/or susceptible detection of specific compounds encountered in particular chromatographic analyses. The selective determination of aromatic hydrocarbons or organo-heteroatom species is the job of the photoionisation detector (PID), which uses ultraviolet light as a means of ionising an analyte exiting from a GC column. The ions produced by this process are collected by electrodes. The current generated is therefore a measure of the analyte concentration. If the energy of an incoming photon is high enough (and the molecule is quantummechanically "allowed" to absorb the photon). photoexcitation can occur to such an extent that an electron is wholly removed from its molecular orbital i.e. ionization.

A photoionization reaction is:<sup>61</sup>

 $R + h_v \longrightarrow R^+ + e^-$ 

If the amount of ionization is reproducible for a given compound, pressure and light source, then the current collected at the PID's reaction cell electrodes is proportional to the amount of that compound entering the cell (Figure 3). The compounds are routinely analyzed and are either hydrocarbons aromatic heteroatom-containing or compounds (like organ sulfur or organ phosphorus species). These species have ionization potentials (IP) that are within reach of commercially available U.V. lamps. The available lamp energies range from 8.3 to 11.7 eV, that is, lambda max ranging from 150 nm to 106 nm. Although most PIDs have only one lamp and depending on the compound, selectivity lamps can be exchanged for the analysis.



Figure 3: PID Internal construction<sup>61</sup>

Since only a tiny (very reproducible but basically unknown) fraction of the analyte molecules is actually ionised in the PID chamber, this is considered to be a nondestructive GC detector. Therefore, the exhaust port of the PID can be connected to another detector in series with the PID. In this way data from two different detectors can be taken simultaneously and selective detection of PID responsive compounds is augmented by the response from like a FID or ECD. The major challenge here is to make the design of the ionisation chamber and the downstream connections to the second detector so that peaks that have been separated by the GC column, do not broaden out before detection.

# Sampling and Analysis methods in the context of India

Passing over the decades, VOC pollution in the ambient air has raised unavoidable environmental problem in urban areas, prominently in the developing countries like India<sup>68</sup>, China<sup>93</sup>, Iran<sup>29</sup>, Vietnam<sup>10</sup>, Thailand<sup>89</sup>, Brazil<sup>12</sup>, Chile<sup>71</sup>, Indonesia<sup>60</sup>, South Korea<sup>36</sup>, Poland<sup>38</sup>, Turkey<sup>96</sup> and the Arabian Peninsula<sup>82</sup>. With increasing pollution concerns, India, being amongst the most polluted countries globally, has not undermined their significance and started its path for VOC monitoring. Also, some of the industrial disasters add to these increased emissions such as the recent Vizag gas tragedy (7th May 2020) in India that led to a styrene gas leak, one of the VOCs <sup>62, 85</sup>.

The conventional methods for VOC sampling and analytical techniques used in India have been studied from 2011 to 2020<sup>3,4,6,20-22,24,34,39,45-48,50,55,58,64,67,69,70,72-74,76,83,91</sup> available within the literature and are summarised in figure 4. The usual sampling methods used in India are with sorbent tubes or cartridges, air sample bags (commonly Tedlar bags), glass air sampling units and canisters.

VOC monitoring is tedious due to its nature. Also, different methods and protocols are proposed in the literature which might be confusing. Comprehensively, a summary can be offered to understand the VOC monitoring, to begin with which can increase the efficiency of sampling (Figure 2). The first and foremost step in the monitoring is to target the VOCs to be measured as they are many and source-specific. It is rare to find all the VOC at one source. Once decided, choose the suitable technique for sampling without ignoring the cost, source location, meteorological factors and distance. Then according to the method selected and the nature of VOC, the analytical techniques are to be opted (Figure 5). It will also help us to crosscheck the limitations, if any, while planning the procedure for monitoring.

### **Conclusion and Recommendations**

Recognising the complexity of high reactivity and challenges in the detection of trace levels of VOCs in air, the selection of adequate and appropriate sampling techniques is necessary for accurate and reliable measurement. There is a need for a thorough determination of VOCs by lab-based method and therefore careful manual sampling is essential. Instrument type should be selected by considering the factors like the distance of the sample site, cost involved, weather conditions, the time-scale of the sampling and the purpose. Understanding the pros and cons of each of the analysis method types, advanced, easy yet cost-effective hand held instruments capable of active and passive measurement should be developed.

More sensitive PID sensors that are commercially available, should be chosen as per the demand and need of compounds to be detected. Intrinsically, limiting its use as they ionise all the compounds possessing ionisation energy, is less than or equal to the output of the lamp.



Figure 4: Classification of (A) sampling and (B) analytical techniques used in India respectively for the determination of VOCs

Target the VOCs to be analyzed and accordingly decide the appropriate method. If	For whole air sampling – choose the sampling technique while keeping these conditions in mind, such as the location, distance, cost, holding time of samplers.	For detection, select the instrument as per the nature of the VOCs (e.g. halogenated VOCs are to be detected by GC ECD), type of detector (universal or selective), detection limit.
the VOCs to be analyzed are known or total VOCs, then real- time portable analyzers can be chosen, but for unknown composition whole air sampling is best.	For Real-Time Portable Analysers, choose the appropriate instrument by understanding the detection limit, calibration, feasibility, sensitivity, selectivity, time of response and utility.	For sensors, select the most suitable one, for example, PID, MOx etc. and in case of the miniaturized model like GC PID, GC FID. Total VOCs can be measured directly, but for known VOC, each one should be selected individually.

#### Figure 5 :Proposed prerequisite steps to be followed before VOC monitoring

Unfortunately, none of these sensors is careful to a distinct VOC In India. A commonly used method for VOC sampling is sorbent tubes. The need for R and D on more sophisticated procedures and techniques is required to enhance detection limits and to reduce the cost. The development of miniature, handy and portable instruments should be prioritised.

GC-MS provides the most reliable data compared to others; hence it should be used for more accurate results. However, portable GC is not cost-effective. Also, one can enlist the potential commercially available low-cost sensors based on the above review. A more detailed study of VOCs is needed and efforts should be made to carry out a complete analysis of VOCs along with source apportionment studies.

### Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to Dr. Nitin K. Labhsetwar, Chief Scientist and Head, Energy and Resource Management Division, CSIR NEERI, Nagpur for their support. Authors are grateful to Roshan Wathore, Scientist, CSIR-NEERI for his valuable efforts in manuscript editing . K.R.C., CSIR-NEERI Nagpur is acknowledged for plagiarism check of the manuscript under the no. CSIR-NEERI/KRC/2020/DEC/ ERMD-SAFE-APC/1.

### References

1. 1,3-Butadiene - Health Effects | Occupational Safety and Health Administration, https://www.osha.gov/butadiene/health-effects (2020) 2. Alexander M., Boscaini E., Lindinger W. and Märk T.D., Membrane Introduction Proton-Transfer Reaction Mass Spectrometry, *Int J Mass Spectrom.*, **223**, 763–70 (**2003**)

3. Anand V., Panicker A.S. and Beig G., Gaseous Pollutants over Different Sites in a Metropolitan Region (Pune) over India, *SN Applied Sciences*, **2(4)**, 1–19 (**2020**)

4. Azari M.R., Konjin Z.N., Zayeri F., Salehpour S. and Seyedi M.D., Occupational Exposure of Petroleum Depot Workers to BTEX Compounds, *Int J Occup Environ Med (The I.J.O.E.M.)*, **3**, 39-44 (**2012**)

5. Baimatova N., Kenessov B., Koziel J.A., Carlsen L., Bektassov M. and Demyanenko O.P. Simple and Accurate Quantification of BTEX in Ambient Air by SPME and GC –MS, *Talanta*, **154**, 46–52 (**2016**)

6. Bauri N., Bauri P., Kumar K. and Jain V.K., Evaluation of Seasonal Variations in Abundance of BTXE. Hydrocarbons and Their Ozone Forming Potential in Ambient Urban Atmosphere of Dehradun (India), *Air Qual. Atmos Health*, **9**(1), 95–106 (**2016**)

7. Bhawan Parivesh, East Arjun Nagar, Central Pollution Control Board Ministry of Environment & Forests, http://home.iitk.ac.in/~anubha/HAP.pdf (2009)

8. Blake S.R., Monks P.S. and Ellis A.M., Proton-Transfer Reaction Mass Spectrometry, *Chem. Rev.*, **109**(3), 861–96 (2009)

9. Brown S.K., Air Toxics in a New Australian Dwelling over an 8-Month Period, *Indoor and Built Environ.*, **10(3–4)**, 160–66 (2001)

Res. J. Chem. Environ.

10. Carlsen L., Bruggemann R. and Kenessov B., Use of Partial Order in Environmental Pollution Studies Demonstrated by Urban BTEX Air Pollution in 20 Major Cities Worldwide, *Sci. Total Environ.*, **610**, 234–43 (**2018**)

11. Copat C., Cristaldi A., Fiore M., Grasso A., Zuccarello P., Signorelli S.S., Conti G.O. and Ferrante M., The Role of Air Pollution (PM and NO<sub>2</sub>) in COVID-19 Spread and Lethality: A Systematic Review, *Environ. Res.*, **191**, 110129 (**2020**)

12. Cruz L.P.S., Santos D.F., Santos I.V., Gomes I.V.S., Santos A.V.S. and Souza K.S.P.P., Exploratory Analysis of the Atmospheric Levels of BTEX, Criteria Air Pollutants and Meteorological Parameters in a Tropical Urban Area in Northeastern Brazil, *Microchemical Journal*, **152**, 104265 (**2020**)

13. Davey N.G., Bell R.J., Gill C.G. and Krogh E.T., Mapping the Geospatial Distribution of Atmospheric BTEX Compounds Using Portable Mass Spectrometry and Adaptive Whole Air Sampling, *Atmos. Pollut. Res.*, **11(3)**, 545–53 (**2020**)

14. Daisy Precilla S., Shreyas S. Kuduvalli, Sathish R. and Anitha T.S., Synergistic Apoptotic Effect of Naringenin on enhancing the Anti-Glioma Efficacy of Temozolomide in an in vitro Experimental Model, *Res. J. Biotech.*, **16**(**10**), 43-49 (**2021**)

15. Determination Of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC), In Air Collected In Specially-Prepared Canisters and Analysed By Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS), https:// www3.epa.gov/ttnamti1/files/ambient/airtox/to-15r.pdf (**1999**)

16. Determination Of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC), In Ambient Air Using Specially Prepared Canisters With Subsequent Analysis By Gas Chromatography, https://www3.epa.gov/ ttnamti1/files/ambient/airtox/to-14ar.pdf (**1999**)

17. Devi K.S., Anantharamakrishnan S.A., Krishnan U.M. and Yakhmi J., Chemical Sensors Based on Metal Oxides, In Smart Sensors for Environmental and Medical Applications, John Wiley & Sons Ltd., https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119587422.ch6, 103–27, (2020)

18. Dieu H.V.T., Lin C., Thanh V.C., Oanh N.T.K., Thanh B.X., Weng C.E., Yuan C.S. and Rene E.R., An Overview of the Development of Vertical Sampling Technologies for Ambient Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC), *J. Environ. Manage.*, **247**, 401–412 (**2019**)

19. El-Metwally D., Chain K., Stefanak M.P., Alwis U., Blount B.C., LaKind J.S. and Bearer C.F., Urinary Metabolites of Volatile Organic Compounds of Infants in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, *Pediatr. Res.*, **83(6)**, 1158–64 (**2018**)

20. Garg A. and Gupta N.C., A Comprehensive Study on Spatio-Temporal Distribution, Health Risk Assessment and Ozone Formation Potential of BTEX Emissions in Ambient Air of Delhi, India, *Sci. Total Environ.*, **659**, 1090–1099 (**2019**)

21. Garg A., Gupta N.C. and Tyagi S.K., Levels of Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene and Xylene near a Traffic-Congested Area of East Delhi, *Environmental Claims Journal*, **31**(1), 5–15 (**2019**)

22. Garg A., Gupta N.C. and Tyagi S.K., Study of Seasonal and Spatial Variability among Benzene, Toluene and p-Xylene (BTp-X) in Ambient Air of Delhi, India, *Pollution*, **5**(1), 135–146 (**2019**)

23. Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS), http://www.cpeo.org/techtree/ttdescript/msgc.htm (year)

24. Gaur M., Singh R. and Shukla A., Variability in the Levels of BTEX at a Pollution Hotspot in New Delhi, India, *J. Environ. Prot.*, **7(10)**, 1245-1258 (**2016**)

25. Gebicki J. and Kloskowski A., Electrochemical Sensor for Measurement of Volatile Organic Compounds Employing Square Wave Perturbation Voltage, *Metrology and Measurement Systems*, **17**, 637–650 (**2010**)

26. Geddes J.A. and Murphy J.G., The Science of Smog: A Chemical Understanding of Ground Level Ozone and Fine Particulate Matter In Metropolitan Sustainability, Cambridge, Woodhead Publishing Limited, 205–230 (**2012**)

27. Geraldino C.G.P., Arbilla G., da Silva C.M., Corrêa S.M. and Martins E.M., Understanding High Tropospheric Ozone Episodes in Bangu, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, *Environ. Monit. Asses.*, **192(3)**, 156 (**2020**)

28. Gold Victor, The IUPAC Compendium of Chemical Terminology: The Gold Book, 4th ed., Research Triangle Park, NC: International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC), https://doi.org/10.1351/goldbook (**2019**)

29. Hazrati S., Rostami R., Farjaminezhad M. and Fazlzadeh M., Preliminary Assessment of BTEX Concentrations in Indoor Air of Residential Buildings and Atmospheric Ambient Air in Ardabil, Iran, *Atmos. Environ.*, **132**, 91–97 (**2016**)

30. Hedayatzade F. and Hassanzadeh N., Occurrence, Probable Source and Health Risk Assessment of Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene and Xylene Compounds in Ambient Urban Atmosphere in Ahvaz, Iran, *Archives of Hygiene Sciences*, **9**(2), 152–67 (**2020**)

31. HiQ, Flame Ionisation Detector (FID), http://hiq.lindegas.com/en/analytical\_methods/gas\_chromatography/flame\_ionis ation\_detector.html (2011)

32. Jiang M., Lu K., Su R., Tan Z., Wang H., Li L., Fu Q., Zhai C., Tan Q. and Yue D., Ozone Formation and Key VOC in Typical Chinese City Clusters, *Chinese Science Bulletin*, **63**(**12**), 1130–41 (**2018**)

33. Jordan A., Haidacher S., Hanel G., Hartungen E., Herbig J., Märk L., Schottkowsky R., Seehauser H., Sulzer P. and Märk T.D., An Online Ultra-High Sensitivity Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass-Spectrometer Combined with Switchable Reagent Ion Capability (PTR+SRI–MS), *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.*, **286**(1), 32–38 (**2009**)

34. Kashyap P., Kumar A. and Kumar K., BTEX Concentrations and Associated Health Risks at Urban Vegetative Sites in Delhi, India, *Environmental Claims Journal*, **31**(4), 349–365 (**2019**)
35. Kesselmeier J. and Staudt M., Biogenic Volatile Organic Compounds (V.O.C.): An Overview on Emission, Physiology and Ecology, *J Atmos Chem*, **33**, 23–88 (**1999**)

36. Kim M.J., Seo Y.K., Kim J.H. and Baek S.O., Impact of Industrial Activities on Atmospheric Volatile Organic Compounds in Sihwa-Banwol, the Largest Industrial Area in South Korea, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, **27**, 28912–30 (**2020**) 37. Kotora P., Viktória F., Szabó A.H., Podolec P., Blaško J., Kubinec R. and Tháng N.M., The Analysis of Volatile Components in Urine Samples Using I.N.C.A.T. Device, *Monatsh.Chem.*, **147(8)**, 1423–27 (**2016**)

38. Kotowski T., Motyka J., Knap W. and Bielewski J., 17-Year Study on the Chemical Composition of Rain, Snow and Sleet in Very Dusty Air (Krakow, Poland), *J. Hydrol.*, **582**, 124543 (**2020**)

39. Kumar A., Singh D., Kumar K., Singh B.B. and Jain V.K., Distribution of VOC in Urban and Rural Atmospheres of Subtropical India: Temporal Variation, Source Attribution, Ratios, O.F.P. and Risk Assessment, *Sci. Total Environ.*, **613**, 492–501 (**2018**)

40. Lan H., Kari H. and Riekkola M.L., Miniaturised Air Sampling Techniques for Analysis of Volatile Organic Compounds in Air, *Trends Analy. Chem.*, **126**, 115873 (**2020**)

41. Lindinger W., Hansel A. and Jordan A., On-Line Monitoring of Volatile Organic Compounds at Pptv Levels by Means of Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass Spectrometry (PTR-MS) Medical Applications, Food Control and Environmental Research, *I J Mass Spectrom Ion Processes*, **173(3)**, 191–241 (**1998**)

42. Liu C., Huang X. and Li J., Outdoor Benzene Highly Impacts Indoor Concentrations Globally, *Sci.Total Environ.*, **720**, 137640 (**2020**)

43. Ma J., Simonich S. and Tao S., New Discoveries to Old Problems: A Virtual Issue on Air Pollution in Rapidly Industrializing Countries, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **51**, 11497–11501 (**2017**)

44. Majchrzak T., Wojnowski W., Dymerski T., Gębicki J. and Namieśnik J., A New Method for Real-Time Monitoring of Volatiles in Frying Fumes Using Proton Transfer Reaction Mass Spectrometry with Time-of-Flight Analyser, *Monatsh. Chem.*, **149(9)**, 1549–54 (**2018**)

45. Majumdar D., Bhanarkar A., Gavane A.G. and Rao C., Measurements on Stationary Source Emissions and Assessing Impact on Ambient Air Quality around Two Indian Refineries, *Asian J. Atmos. Environ.*, **13**(2), 73–87 (**2019**)

46. Majumdar D., Mukherjeea A.K. and Sen S., BTEX in Ambient Air of a Metropolitan City, *J. Environ. Prot.*, **2**(1), 11-20 (**2011**)

47. Masih A., Lall A.S., Taneja A. and Singhvi R., Exposure Profiles, Seasonal Variation and Health Risk Assessment of BTEX in Indoor Air of Homes at Different Microenvironments of a Terai Province of Northern India, *Chemosphere*, **176**, 8–17 (**2017**)

48. Masih A., Lall A.S., Taneja A. and Singhvi R., Inhalation Exposure and Related Health Risks of BTEX in Ambient Air at Different Microenvironments of a Terai Zone in North India, *Atmos Environ.*, **147**, 55–66 (**2016**)

49. McAlary T. et al, Passive Sampling for Volatile Organic Compounds in Indoor Air-Controlled Laboratory Comparison of Four Sampler Types, *Environ. Sci.: Processes Impacts*, **17**(5), 896– 905 (**2015**)

50. Mehta D., Hazarika N. and Srivastava A., Diurnal Variation of BTEX at Road Traffic Intersection Points in Delhi, India: Source,

Ozone Formation Potential and Health Risk Assessment, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, **27**(10), 11093–11104 (2020)

51. Method 18—Measurement of gaseous organic compound emissions by gas chromatography, https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2019-06/documents/method\_18\_0.pdf (2019)

52. Method TO-17 - Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air Using Active Sampling Onto Sorbent Tubes, 53 (**1999**)

53. Morawska L. et al, How Can Airborne Transmission of COVID-19 Indoors Be Minimised?, *Environ Int.*, **142**, 105832 (**2020**)

54. Mozaffar A. and Zhang Y.L., Atmospheric Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) in China: A Review, *Curr. Pollut. Rep.*, **6**, 250–263 (**2020**)

55. Mukherjee A.K., Chattopadhyay B.P., Roy S.K., Das S., Mazumdar D., Roy M., Chakraborty R. and Yadav A., Work-Exposure to PM10 and Aromatic Volatile Organic Compounds, Excretion of Urinary Biomarkers and Effect on the Pulmonary Function and Heme-Metabolism: A Study of Petrol Pump Workers and Traffic Police Personnel in Kolkata City, India, *J. Environ.Sci. Health*, Part A, **51(2)**, 135–149 (**2016**)

56. Müller M., Piel F., Gutmann R., Sulzer P., Hartungen E. and Wisthaler A., A Novel Method for Producing NH4+ Reagent Ions in the Hollow Cathode Glow Discharge Ion Source of PTR-MS Instruments, *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.*, **447**, 116254 (**2020**)

57. Nair A.T., Jaganathan S. and Shiva Nagendra S.M., Emerging Perspectives on VOC Emissions from Landfill Sites: Impact on Tropospheric Chemistry and Local Air Quality, *Process Saf Environ Prot*, **121**, 143–54 (**2019**)

58. Pant P., Lal R.M., Guttikunda S.K., Russell A.G., Nagpure A.S., Ramaswami A. and Peltier R.E., Monitoring Particulate Matter in India: Recent Trends and Future Outlook, *Air Qual. Atmos Health*, **12(1)**, 45–58 (**2019**)

59. Passive Samplers for Investigations of Air Quality: Method Description, Implementation and Comparison to Alternative Sampling Methods, EPA, 1-44 (2015)

60. Permadi D.A., Dirgawati M., Kramawijaya A.G. and Hermawan W., Preliminary estimation on air pollution load over bogor city towards development of clean air action plan, *Ecolab*, **14(1)**, 53–62 (**2020**)

61. Photoionisation detector (PID), https://www.shsu.edu/ chm\_tgc/PID/PID.html (**2019**)

62. Radica F., Mura S., Carboni D., Malfatti L., Garroni S., Enzo S., Ventura G.D., Tranfo G., Marcelli A. and Innocenzi P., Phenyl-Modified Hybrid Organic-Inorganic Microporous Films as High Efficient Platforms for Styrene Sensing, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, **294**, 109877 (**2020**)

63. Ragothaman A. and Anderson W.A., Air Quality Impacts of Petroleum Refining and Petrochemical Industries, *Environments*, **4(3)**, 66 (**2017**)

64. Ravindra K., Singh T., Mor S., Singh V., Mandal T.K., Bhatti M.S., Gahlawat S.K., Dhankhar R., Mor S. and Beig G., Real-Time Monitoring of Air Pollutants in Seven Cities of North India during Crop Residue Burning and Their Relationship with Meteorology and Transboundary Movement of Air, *Sci. Total Environ.*, **690**, 717–729 (**2019**)

65. Redline, Linde's, Electron Capture Detector, Gas Chromatography with HiQ® Specialty Gases, 2 (2011)

66. Rodríguez-Urrego D. and Rodríguez-Urrego L., Air Quality during the COVID-19: PM2. 5 Analysis in the 50 Most Polluted Capital Cities in the World, *Environ. Pollut.*, **266(1)**, 115042 (**2020**)

67. Sahu L.K., Pal D., Yadav R. and Munkhtur J., Aromatic VOC at Major Road Junctions of a Metropolis in India: Measurements Using TD-GC-FID and PTR-TOF-MS Instruments, *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.*, **16**(10), 2405–2420 (**2016**)

68. Sahu, L.K., Yadav R. and Tripathi N., Aromatic Compounds in a Semi-Urban Site of Western India: Seasonal Variability and Emission Ratios, *Atmos Res.*, **246**, 105114 (**2020**)

69. Sarkar C., Chatterjee A., Majumdar D., Roy A., Srivastava A., Ghosh S.K. and Raha S., How the Atmosphere over Eastern Himalaya, India Is Polluted with Carbonyl Compounds? Temporal Variability and Identification of Sources, *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.*, **17(9)**, 2206–2223 (**2017**)

70. Saxena P. and Ghosh C., A Review of Assessment of Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene and Xylene (BTEX) Concentration in Urban Atmosphere of Delhi, *Int. J. Phys. Sci.*, **7(6)**, 850–860 (**2012**)

71. Seguel, R.J., Gallardo L., Fleming Z.L. and Landeros S., Two Decades of Ozone Standard Exceedances in Santiago de Chile, *Air Qual. Atmos Health*, **13(5)**, 593–605 (**2020**)

72. Sekar A., Varghese G.K. and Varma M.R., Analysis of Benzene Air Quality Standards, Monitoring Methods and Concentrations in Indoor and Outdoor Environment, *Heliyon*, **5**(11), e02918 (2019)

73. Sharma S., Giri B. and Patel K.S., Ambient Volatile Organic Compounds in the Atmosphere of Industrial Central India, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, **73**(4), 381–395 (2016)

74. Singh D., Kumar A., Singh B.P., Anandam K., Singh M., Mina U., Kumar K. and Jain V.K., Spatial and Temporal Variability of VOC and Its Source Estimation during Rush/Non-Rush Hours in Ambient Air of Delhi, India, *Air Qual. Atmos Health*, **9(5)**, 483–493 (**2016**)

75. Singh R. and Shukla A., Volatile Organic Compounds in India: Concentration and Sources, *J. Civ. Environ. Eng.*, **6**, 251 (**2016**)

76. Singh R., Gaur M. and Shukla A., Seasonal and Spatial Variation of BTEX in Ambient Air of Delhi, *J. Environ. Prot.*, **7(5)**, 670–688 (**2016**)

77. Skoog D.A., Holler F.J. and Crouch S.R., Principles of Instrumental Analysis, Cengage Learning (**2017**)

78. Song M., Liu X., Zhang Y., Shao M., Lu K., Tan Q., Feng M. and Yu Qu., Sources and Abatement Mechanisms of VOC in Southern China, *Atmos.Environ.*, **201**, 28–40 (**2019**)

79. Spinelle L., Gerboles M., Kok G., Persijn S. and Sauerwald T., Review of Portable and Low-Cost Sensors for the Ambient Air Monitoring of Benzene and Other Volatile Organic Compounds, *Sensors*, **17**(7), 1520 (**2017**)

80. Srivastava A. and Majumdar D., Monitoring and Reporting VOC in Ambient Air, *Air Quality Monitoring, Assessment and Management*, **1**, 137-148 (**2011**)

81. Sulzer P., Edtbauer A., Hartungen E., Jürschik S., Jordan A., Hanel G., Feil S., Jaksch S., Märk L. and Märk T.D., From Conventional Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass Spectrometry (PTR-MS) to Universal Trace Gas Analysis, *Int. J. Mass. Spectrom.*, **321**, 66–70 (**2012**)

82. Tadic I., Crowley J.N., Dienhart D., Eger P., Harder H., Hottmann B., Martinez M., Parchatka U., Paris J.D. and Pozzer A., Net Ozone Production and Its Relationship to Nitrogen Oxides and Volatile Organic Compounds in the Marine Boundary Layer around the Arabian Peninsula, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **20**(11), 6769– 87 (**2020**)

83. Talapatra A. and Srivastava A., Ambient Air Non-Methane Volatile Organic Compound (N.M.V.O.C.) Study Initiatives in India–a Review, *J. Environ. Prot.*, **2**(1), 21-36 (**2011**)

84. T.G.M. for the implementation of the Hawai'i State Contigency plan, section 7.8, Sampling approaches and equipment, http://www.hawaiidoh.org/tgm-content/0708a.aspx?f=T (2008)

85. The Indian Express, Thick Air, Pungent Smell: How Gas Leakage Tragedy Unfolded at Visakhapatnam's LG Polymers Plant (Accessed 8 May 2020), Google Search, April 26, 2021, https://www.google.com/search?q=The+Indian+Express(2020)+T hick+air%2C+pungent+smell%3A+How+gas+leakage+tragedy+ unfolded+at+Visakhapatnam%27s+LG+Polymers+plant%22+(A ccessed+8+May+2020).&coq=The+Indian+Express(2020)+Thick +air%2C+pungent+smell%3A+How+gas+leakage+tragedy+unfol ded+at+Visakhapatnam%27s+LG+Polymers+plant%22+(Access ed+8+May+2020).&aqs=chrome..69i57.481j0j7&sourceid=chro me&ie=UTF-8 (**2020**)

86. Thomas K., Jobson T., Kuster W.C., Williams E., Stutz J., Shetter R., Hall S.R., Goldan P., Fehsenfeld F. and Lindinger W., Use of Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass Spectrometry to Characterise Volatile Organic Compound Sources at the La Porte Super Site during the Texas Air Quality Study 2000, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos*, **108**, D16 (**2003**)

87. Tuet W.Y., Chen Y., Xu L., Fok S., Gao D., Weber R.J. and Ng N.L., Chemical Oxidative Potential of Secondary Organic Aerosol (SOA) Generated from the Photooxidation of Biogenic and Anthropogenic Volatile Organic Compounds, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **17**(2), 839–53 (**2017**)

88. US EPA, O.A.R., Initial List of Hazardous Air Pollutants with Modifications, Reports and Assessments, US EPA, https://www.epa.gov/haps/initial-list-hazardous-air-pollutants-modifications (2015)

Res. J. Chem. Environ.

89. Uttamang P., Campbell P.C., Aneja V.P. and Hanna A.F., A Multi-Scale Model Analysis of Ozone Formation in the Bangkok Metropolitan Region, Thailand, *Atmos. Environ.*, **229**, 117433 (**2020**)

90. Vallecillos L., Espallargas E., Allo R., Marcé R.M. and Borrull F., Passive Sampling of Volatile Organic Compounds in Industrial Atmospheres: Uptake Rate Determinations and Application, *Sci. Total Environ.*, **666**, 235–244 (**2019**)

91. Venkanna R., Nikhil G.N., Sinha P.R., Rao T.S. and Swamy Y.V., Significance of Volatile Organic Compounds and Oxides of Nitrogen on Surface Ozone Formation at Semi-Arid Tropical Urban Site, Hyderabad, India, *Air Qual. Atmos. Health*, **9**(**4**), 379–390 (**2016**)

92. Wang D.K.W. and Austin C.C., Determination of Complex Mixtures of Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air: An Overview, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00216-006-0475-5 (**2006**)

93. Wu K., Yang X., Chen D., Gu S., Lu Y., Jiang Q., Wang K., Ou Y., Qian Y. and Shao P., Estimation of Biogenic VOC Emissions and Their Corresponding Impact on Ozone and Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation in China, *Atmos. Res.*, **231**, 104656 (**2020**)

94. Wu R., Yu Z., Jie Z. and Lei Z., Variability and Sources of Ambient Volatile Organic Compounds Based on Online Measurements in a Suburban Region of Nanjing, Eastern China, *Aerosol Air. Qual. Res.*, **20(3)**, 606–19 (**2020**)

95. Yaghoub H., Teiri H., Nazmara S. and Iman P., Environmental and Biological Monitoring of Exposures to VOC in a Petrochemical Complex in Iran, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, **25**(7), 6656–67 (**2018**)

96. Yalçin E., Tecer L.H., Yurdakul S. and Tuncel G., Potential Sources and Measured Concentrations of VOC in Balikesir Ambient Atmosphere, *Atmósfera*, **33**(**3**), 269–84 (**2020**)

97. Yang W., Zhang Q., Wang J., Zhou C., Zhang Y. and Pan. Z., Emission Characteristics and Ozone Formation Potentials of VOC from Gasoline Passenger Cars at Different Driving Modes, *Atmos. Pollut. Res.*, **9**(5), 804–13 (**2018**)

98. Yolanda P., Advanced Mass Spectrometry for Food Safety and Quality, https://www.elsevier.com/books/advanced-massspectrometry-for-food-safety-and-quality/pico/978-0-444-63340-8 (**2015**)

99. Zefon Webstore, Nanoparticle (N.R.D.) Sampler, 2 Foam Filter, https://www.zefon.com/nanoparticle-sampler-25mm-foam-filter (**2020**).

(Received 19th April 2022, accepted 21st June 2022)

\*\*\*\*